IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

FRIDAY, THE 30TH MARCH 2007 / 9TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 7842 of 2007(H)

PETITIONERS:

---

1. THOMAS THOMAS,
   KOLLAMKOMPIL HOUSE, MUNDAKAYAM P.O.

2. SOSAMMA KORA,
   PEEDIKAYIL, MUNDAKAYAM P.O.

3. RASHEEDA ASHARAF,
   KAPLAN PARAMBIL, MUNDAKAYAM.

4. K.A.SAYED MOHAMMED,
   KAYAPLACKAL, R.P.C. P.O., MUNDAKAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.P.MOHAMMED NIYAZ
SRI.K.RONALD JOSE

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. MUNDAKAYAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MUNDAKAYAM.

2. CHAIRMAN,
   REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, KOTTAYAM.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.P.N.PURUSHOTHAMA KAIMAL
R2 BY ADV. SRI. K.J. MUHAMMED ANSAR, GOVT. PLEADER.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 7842 OF 2007

Dated this the 30th day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

The counsel for the Panchayat submits that it was in pursuance to the direction of this Court in Ext.R1(b) judgment that the 1st respondent Panchayat took steps for establishing a new autorickshaw stand. Counsel further submits that the stand will not be commissioned before concurrence of the Regional Transport Authority as mandated by Motor Vehicles Law is obtained. The above submission is recorded and the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the 1st respondent Panchayat to commission the autorickshaw stand only after obtaining concurrence from the RTA. The 2nd respondent will issue notice to at least one of the petitioners before it considers the item regarding the Panchayat's request for concurrence regarding the new autorickshaw stand.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt